



#### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/910,265	07/20/2001	Earl J. Mac Leod	21-0134	2928
75	590 09/27/2002			
Kaardal & Associates, PC Attn: Ivar M. Kaardal 3500 South First Ave. Circle - Suite 250			EXAMINER	
			MORGAN, EILEEN P	
Sioux Falls, SD 57105-5802			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2722	

DATE MAILED: 09/27/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/910,265

Morgan

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit **3723** 

Kaardal

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on *Jul 20, 2001* 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) 💢 Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. is/are withdrawn from consideration. 4a) Of the above, claim(s) 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) X Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. is/are objected to. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ 8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. **Application Papers** 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)  $\square$  All b)  $\square$  Some\* c)  $\square$  None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a)  $\square$  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 2 6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/910265 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 4 and 8, (line 6 of cl. 4) 'said sections' is unclear. The side sections or the side and medial sections. Cls. 7 and 8, the reference to the 'rear, top and front' sections are unclear. With respect to what? Is the 'rear surface' of the front section' the same as 'the rear surface'? "Said rear surface (last two lines) refers to what? How is the rear surface opposite the mounting means? The rear section includes the mounting means. The distinction between 'surface' and 'section' is confusing.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-3 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bosten-5,743,791.

Application/Control Number: 09/910265 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

Bosten discloses a set of profiled sanding pads (98-128) having mounting means (130) with plates (134,136) for attaching to a sander wherein the sanding pads have a distinctive configuration, such as a v-shape and arcuate shape, and are designed to hold sandpaper thereon.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 4-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bosten in view of Ueno.

In regard to claim 5, Bosten does not show a profile having two outwardly angled sides from a medial section. However, Ueno teaches a sanding member with such a configuration. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time invention was made to provide a sanding profile, such as that taught by Ueno, in order to sand differently configured workpieces.

In regard to claims 4,6-8, it would have been an obvious design choice to one of ordinary skill in the art at time invention was made to provide Bosten with differently profiled sanding pads in order to sand differently configured workpieces.

Art Unit: 3723

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to E. Morgan whose telephone number is (703) 308-1743.

EILEEN P. MORGAM

EM

September 24, 2002